Computational Logic Logic Programming

Martin Baláž

Department of Applied Informatics Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Comenius University in Bratislava



2011

Logic Program:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} father(abraham, isaac) &\leftarrow \\ mother(sarah, isaac) &\leftarrow \\ father(isaac, jacob) &\leftarrow \\ & parent(X, Y) &\leftarrow \\ father(X, Y) &\leftarrow \\ parent(X, Y) &\leftarrow \\ grandparent(X, Z) &\leftarrow \\ parent(X, Y), parent(Y, Z) \\ & ancestor(X, Z) &\leftarrow \\ parent(X, Y), ancestor(Y, Z) \end{array}$

Logic Program

A *literal* is an atom or the negation of an atom. A *positive literal* is an atom. A *negative literal* is the negation of an atom.

A *rule* is a formula of the form

$$L_1 \lor \cdots \lor L_m \leftarrow L_{m+1} \land \cdots \land L_n$$

where $0 \le m \le n$ and each L_i , $1 \le i \le n$, is a literal.

The rule represents a (disjunctive) clause

$$(\forall x_1) \dots (\forall x_k) (L_1 \vee \dots \vee L_m \vee \sim L_{m+1} \vee \dots \vee \sim L_n)$$

where x_1, \ldots, x_k are all variables occurring in L_1, \ldots, L_n .

A logic program is a finite set of rules.

Example

$$p(c, Y, Y) \leftarrow p(f(X), Y, Z) \leftarrow p(X, f(Y), Z)$$

- domain \mathbb{N}
- signature ({c, f}, {p}, { $c \mapsto 0, f \mapsto 1, p \mapsto 3$ })
- interpretation I_1

•
$$c' = 0$$

• $f'(x) = x + 1$
• $p'(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow z = x + y$

• interpretation I_2

•
$$c^{I} = 1$$

• $f^{I}(x) = 2 * x$
• $p^{I}(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow z = 2^{x+y}$

A term is *ground* if it does not contain variables. Similarly, a formula is *ground* if it does not contain variables.

The Herbrand universe U is the set of all ground terms. Similarly, the Herbrand base \mathcal{B} is the set of all ground atoms.

Grounded logic program Ground(P) is obtained from a logic program P by replacing all variables with ground terms in all possible ways.

The *Herbrand interpretation* is an interpretation given by the following:

- The domain is the Herbrand universe
- **2** If f is a function symbol with arity n, then $f' = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \mapsto f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$

Example

$$p(c, Y, Y) \leftarrow p(f(X), Y, Z) \leftarrow p(X, f(Y), Z)$$

- domain $U = \{c, f(c), f(f(c)), f(f(c))), ... \}$
- signature $({c, f}, {p}, {c \mapsto 0, f \mapsto 1, p \mapsto 3})$
- interpretation I

•
$$c^{I} = c$$

• $f^{I}(x) = f(x)$
• $p^{I}(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow x = f^{a}(c) \land y = f^{b}(c) \land z = f^{a+b}(c)$

We will denote Herbrand interpretation as the set of all satisfied grounded atoms.

An interpretation I is a *model of* a logic program P iff each rule in P is satisfied by I.

A logic program is satisfiable iff it has a Herbrand model.

Proof: If I is a model of P, then

$$I' = \{p(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \in \mathcal{B} \mid I \models p(t_1,\ldots,t_n)\}$$

is a Herbrand model of P.

Example: $S = \{p(a), (\exists x) \sim p(x)\}, U = \{a\}, B = \{p(a)\}$

Definite Logic Program

A *definite rule* is a rule of the form

$$A_0 \leftarrow A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n$$

where $0 \le n$ and each A_i , $0 \le i \le n$, is an atom.

A definite logic program is a finite set of definite rules.

$$P \models (\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_k)(A_1 \land \dots \land A_n)?$$

Is $P \cup \{(\forall x_1) \dots (\forall x_k)(\sim A_1 \lor \dots \lor \sim A_n)\}$ unsatisfiable?
Is $P \cup \{\leftarrow A_1 \land \dots \land A_n\}$ unsatisfiable?

A *definite goal* is a goal of the form

$$\leftarrow A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n$$

where $0 \le n$ and each A_i , $1 \le i \le n$, is an atom.

Let *P* be a definite logic program and \mathcal{M} be a non-empty set of Herbrand models of *P*. Then $\bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M$ is a Herbrand model of *P*.

Every definite logic program P has the least Herbrand model (denoted M_P).

Proof: The set of all Herbrand models is non-empty, because Herbrand base is a model of P. Therefore the intersection of all Herbrand models is the least Herbrand model of P.

Let *P* be a definite logic program. Then $M_P = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_P \mid P \models A\}$.

Proof: $P \models A$ iff $P \cup \{\sim A\}$ is unsatisfiable iff $P \cup \{\sim A\}$ has no Herbrand models iff $\sim A$ is false w.r.t. all Herbrand models of P iff A is true w.r.t. all Herbrand models of P iff $A \in M_P$. Let *P* be a definite logic program. An *immediate consequence* operator T_P is defined as follows:

$$T_P(I) = \{ A \in \mathcal{B}_P \mid A \leftarrow A_1 \land \dots \land A_n \in Ground(P), \\ \{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \subseteq I \}$$

The iteration $T_P \uparrow n$ is defined as follows:

$$T_P \uparrow 0 = \emptyset$$

$$T_P \uparrow (n+1) = T_P(T_P \uparrow n)$$

$$T_P \uparrow \omega = \bigcup_{n < \omega} T_P \uparrow n$$

Let M_P be the least model of P. Then $M_P = T_P \uparrow \omega$.

Normal Logic Program

A normal rule is a rule of the form

$$A \leftarrow L_1 \land \cdots \land L_n$$

where $0 \le n$, A is an atom, and each L_i , $1 \le i \le n$, is a literal.

A normal logic program is a finite set of normal rules.

$$P \models (\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_k)(L_1 \land \dots \land L_n)?$$

Is $P \cup \{(\forall x_1) \dots (\forall x_k)(\sim L_1 \lor \dots \lor \sim L_n)\}$ unsatisfiable?
Is $P \cup \{\leftarrow L_1 \land \dots \land L_n\}$ unsatisfiable?

A normal goal is a goal of the form

$$\leftarrow L_1 \land \cdots \land L_n$$

where $0 \le n$ and each L_i , $1 \le i \le n$, is a literal.

Example:

 $P \models student(jim)?$

Closed World Assumption:

What is not currently known to be true, is false.

Example:

$$student(x) \leftrightarrow x = joe \lor x = bill$$

First step:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \leftarrow x_1 = t_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m = t_m \wedge L_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge L_n$$

where x_1, \ldots, x_m are variables not occuring in $L_1 \land \cdots \land L_n$ and $p(t_1, \ldots, t_m) \leftarrow L_1 \land \cdots \land L_n$ is a normal rule.

Second step:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\leftrightarrow E_1\vee\cdots\vee E_k$$

where each E_i has the form $x_1 = t_1 \land \cdots \land x_m = t_m \land L_1 \land \cdots \land L_n$, E_1, \ldots, E_k are all transformed rules from the first step with the predicate symbol p in the head, and x_1, \ldots, x_m are new variables.