## **Exercise 1.** Consider the following theory:

$$(\forall x)(gentleman(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(lady(y) \rightarrow (\forall z)(insult(z,y) \rightarrow (kill(x,z) \lor punish(x,z))))))\\ (\forall x)(rude(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y)(lady(y) \land insult(x,y)))\\ (\forall x)(gentleman(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(enemy(y,x) \rightarrow (kill(x,y) \rightarrow attack(y,x))))\\ (\forall x)(gentleman(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(rude(y) \rightarrow enemy(y,x)))\\ (\forall x)(\forall y)((punish(y,x) \lor kill(y,x)) \rightarrow defeated(y))\\ lady(peggy\_sue)\\ insult(billy\_boy, peggy\_sue)\\ gentleman(jackie)\\ attack(billy\_boy, jackie)$$

Prove with resolution that

- Billy Boy is rude.
- Billy Boy is defeated.
- Billy Boy is killed by Jack.

Exercise 2. Prove with resolution following tautologies<sup>1</sup>:

1. 
$$(p \to (q \to r)) \to ((p \to q) \to (p \to r))$$

2. 
$$(\exists y)(\forall x)p(x,y) \to (\forall x)(\exists y)p(x,y)$$

3. 
$$((\exists x)(\forall y)Q(x,y) \land (\forall x)(Q(x,x) \rightarrow (\exists y)R(y,x))) \rightarrow (\exists y)(\exists x)R(x,y)$$

Exercise 3. Unify the following predicates:

1. 
$$p(x, f(x), z)$$
 and  $p(g(y), f(g(b)), y)$ 

2. 
$$p(x, f(x))$$
 and  $p(f(y), y)$ 

3. 
$$p(x, f(z))$$
 and  $p(f(y), y)$ 

Exercise 4. Prove in Hilbert calculus:

1. 
$$\{p, (p \rightarrow q), (q \rightarrow r)\} \vdash (\neg s \rightarrow r)$$
.

2. 
$$\{Q(a), (\forall x)(\neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x))\} \vdash P(a)$$
.

Exercise 5. Prove with resolution that conclusion follows from a given theory<sup>2</sup>:

1. • All hounds howl at night.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Hint}\colon \mathrm{recall}\ \mathrm{that}\ \phi$  is a tautology iff  $\emptyset\models\phi$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hint: don't forget to transform also conclusion to conjunctive normal form.

- Anyone who has any cats will not have any mice.
- Light sleepers do not have anything which howls at night.
- John has either a cat or a hound.
- (Conclusion) If John is a light sleeper, then John does not have any mice.
- 2. Anyone whom Mary loves is a football star.
  - Any student who does not pass does not play.
  - John is a student.
  - Any student who does not study does not pass.
  - Anyone who does not play is not a football star.
  - (Conclusion) If John does not study, then Mary does not love John.
- 3. Every child loves every candy.
  - Anyone who loves some candy is not a nutrition fanatic.
  - Anyone who eats any pumpkin is a nutrition fanatic.
  - Anyone who buys any pumpkin either carves it or eats it.
  - John buys a pumpkin.
  - Lifesavers is a candy.
  - (Conclusion) If John is a child, then John carves some pumpkin.